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## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the methodologies, results, and findings of a traffic impact study conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O’Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for a proposed mixed-use development to be located in Lake Villa, Illinois. The site, which is currently vacant, is to consist of two parcels located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Deep Lake Road with Grass Lake Road divided by Tower Drive and the Lake Tower Crossings retail center. As proposed, the northern parcel will contain a full-service fuel center with 12 fueling positions, an approximate 3,900 square-foot convenience store, an approximate 1,000 square-foot coffee shop with a drivethrough window, and a multi-tenant retail building consisting of an approximate 1,500 square-foot quick service restaurant with drive-through window and approximately 3,020 square feet of retail space. The southern parcel will contain 89 apartment units in six buildings including 60 twobedroom apartments and 29 one-bedroom apartments, and a multi-tenant retail building consisting of an approximate 2,000 square-foot quick service restaurant with drive-through window and approximately 5,270 square feet of retail space. Access to the development will be provided via Tower drive, which provides a right-in/right-out access off Grass Lake Road and a full movement access off Deep Lake Road.

The purpose of this study was to examine background traffic conditions, assess the impact that the proposed development will have on traffic conditions in the area, and determine if any roadway or access improvements are necessary to accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed development.

Figure 1 shows the location of the site in relation to the area roadway system. Figure 2 shows an aerial view of the site.

The sections of this report present the following:

- Existing roadway conditions
- A description of the proposed development
- Directional distribution of the development traffic
- Vehicle trip generation for the development
- Future traffic conditions including access to the development
- Traffic analyses for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours
- Recommendations with respect to adequacy of the site access and adjacent roadway system

Traffic capacity analyses were conducted for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours for the following conditions:

1. Existing Conditions - Analyze the capacity of the existing roadway system using existing peak hour traffic volumes in the surrounding area.
2. Projected Conditions - Analyze the capacity of the future roadway system using the projected traffic volumes that include the existing traffic volumes, ambient traffic growth, and the traffic estimated to be generated by the full buildout of the proposed development.


Site Location
Figure 1


Aerial View of Site
Figure 2

## 2. Existing Conditions

Existing transportation conditions in the vicinity of the site were documented based on field visits conducted by KLOA, Inc. in order to obtain a database for projecting future conditions. The following provides a description of the geographical location of the site, physical characteristics of the area roadway system including lane usage and traffic control devices, and existing peak hour traffic volumes.

## Site Location

The site, which is currently vacant, is located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Grass Lake Road with Deep Lake Road and is divided into two parcels by Tower Drive and the Tower Crossings retail center. Land uses in the vicinity of the site include Oakland Elementary School to the north, Lake Community High School to the east, and the Oakland Ridge subdivision to the west and south.

## Existing Roadway System Characteristics

The characteristics of the existing roadways near the development are described below and illustrated in Figure 3.

Grass Lake Road is an east-west minor arterial that in the vicinity of the site provides one through lane in each direction. At its signalized intersection with Deep Lake Road, Grass Lake Road provides an exclusive left-turn lane, a through lane, and an exclusive right-turn lane on both approaches. Additionally, Grass Lake Road provides high visibility crosswalks on both legs of its intersection with Deep Lake Road. At its unsignalized intersection with Tower Drive, Grass Lake Road provides an exclusive right-turn lane and one through lane on the eastbound approach and one through lane on the westbound approach. Grass Lake Road is under the jurisdiction of the Lake County Division of Transportation (LCDOT) and carries an annual average daily traffic (AADT) volume of 8,750 vehicles west of Deep Lake Road and 7,300 vehicles east of Deep Lake Road (IDOT AADT 2015). Grass Lake Road has a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour and has a posted school zone speed limit of 20 miles per hour throughout the study area.

Deep Lake Road is a north-south minor arterial that in the vicinity of the site provides one through lane in each direction. At its signalized intersection with Grass Lake Road, Deep Lake Road provides an exclusive left-turn lane and a combined through/right-turn lane on both approaches. Additionally, Deep Lake Road provides high visibility crosswalks on both legs of its intersection with Grass Lake Road. At its unsignalized intersection with Tower Drive, Deep Lake Road provides an exclusive left-turn lane and a through lane on the northbound approach and an exclusive right-turn lane and a through lane on the southbound approach. Deep Lake Road is under the jurisdiction of LCDOT and carries an AADT volume of 7,900 vehicles north of Grass Lake Road and 7,200 vehicles south of Grass Lake Road (IDOT AADT 2015). Deep Lake Road has a posted speed limit of 40 miles per hour and has a posted school zone speed limit of 20 miles per hour north of Grass Lake Road.


Tower Drive is a local roadway that extends between Grass Lake Road to the north and Deep Lake Road to the east. At its unsignalized intersection with Grass Lake Road, Tower Drive is physically restricted to right-in/right-out movements only with outbound movements under stop sign control. At its unsignalized intersection with Deep Lake Road, Tower Drive provides a combined left-turn/right-turn lane on the eastbound approach that is under stop sign control. Tower Drive is under the jurisdiction of the Village of Lake Villa and has no posted speed limit.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

In order to determine current traffic conditions in the vicinity of the site, KLOA, Inc. conducted peak period traffic counts utilizing Miovision Scout Collection Units on Tuesday, March 19, 2019 during the weekday morning (7:00 to 9:00 A.M.) and evening (2:30 to 6:00 P.M.) peak periods at the following intersections:

## - Grass Lake Road with Deep Lake Road

- Grass Lake Road with Tower Drive
- Deep Lake Road with Tower Drive

The results of the traffic counts indicated that the weekday morning peak hour of traffic occurs from 7:00 A.M. to 8:00 A.M. and the weekday evening peak hour of traffic occurs from 4:45 P.M. to 5:45 P.M. Figure 4 illustrates the existing peak hour traffic volumes. Copies of the traffic count summary sheets are included in the Appendix.

## Crash Analysis

KLOA, Inc. obtained crash data ${ }^{1}$ for the most recent available past five years (2013 to 2017) for the intersection of Grass Lake Road with Deep Lake Road. Table 1 summarizes the crash data for the intersection. A review of the crash data indicated that no fatalities were reported at this intersection between 2013 and 2017.

[^0]

Table 1
GRASS LAKE ROAD WITH DEEP LAKE ROAD - CRASH SUMMARY

|  | Type of Accident Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Angle | Object | Rear End | Sideswipe | Turning | Other | Total |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017 | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Average/Year | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |

## 3. Traffic Characteristics of the Proposed Development

In order to properly evaluate future traffic conditions in the surrounding area, it was necessary to determine the traffic characteristics of the proposed development, including the directional distribution and volumes of traffic that it will generate.

## Proposed Site and Development Plan

As proposed, the north and south parcels will be developed to contain the following uses:

## North Parcel:

- A full-service fuel center with 12 fueling positions, an approximate 3,900 square-foot convenience store and an approximate 1,000 square-foot coffee shop with a drive-through
- A multi-tenant retail building consisting of an approximate 1,500 square-foot quick service restaurant with a drive-through and approximately 3,020 square feet of retail space


## South Parcel:

- Six low-rise buildings with a total of 89 apartment units
- A multi-tenant retail building consisting of an approximate 2,000 square-foot quick service restaurant with a drive-through and approximately 5,270 square feet of retail space

Access to the development will be provided internally via Tower Drive which provides a connection to the area roadway system as follows:

- Tower Drive/Grass Lake Road. Tower Drive's intersection with Grass Lake Road is located approximately 415 feet west of Deep Lake Road and will continue to provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane signed and physically restricted to right-turn movements only. An eastbound right-turn lane is provided on Grass Lake Road at this intersection.
- Tower Drive/Deep Lake Road. This intersection is located approximately 450 feet south of Grass Lake Road. A southbound right-turn lane and a northbound left-turn lane are currently provided on Deep Lake Road serving this intersection. Tower Drive will continue to provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with outbound movements under stop sign control.

A site plan depicting the proposed development layout and access is included in the Appendix.

## Directional Distribution

The directions from which residents, patrons, and employees will approach and depart the site were estimated based on existing travel patterns, as determined from the traffic counts. Figure 5 illustrates the directional distribution of the development-generated traffic.

## Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

The number of peak hour trips estimated to be generated by the proposed development was based on vehicle trip generation rates contained in Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). As previously indicated, the site will be developed with a gas station with a convenience store, a multi-tenant building including a quick service restaurant with a drive-through window and retail space, apartments, and a multi-tenant building containing a coffee shop with a drive-through window and retail space.

The "Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)" (Land-Use Code 220) rate was used for the 89 apartments units. The "Gas Station with Convenience Store" (Land-Use Code 945) rate was used for the passenger vehicle fueling positions. Based on the description provided by ITE, this land use includes convenience stores. As such, this rate was utilized for the proposed gas station and the proposed convenience store. The "Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window" (Land-Use Code 934) was used for the quick service restaurant with drive-through window, the "Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window' (Land-Use Code 934) was used for the coffee shop with drive-through window and the "Shopping Center" (Land-Use Code 820) was utilized for the proposed retail space. It is important to note that surveys conducted by ITE have shown that approximately 60, 50 and 70 percent of trips made to gas stations, fast-food and coffee shops, respectively, are diverted from the existing traffic on the roadway system. This is particularly true during the weekday morning and evening peak hours when traffic is diverted from the home-to-work and work-to-home trips. Such diverted trips are referred to as pass-by traffic. As such, these pass-by percentages were applied to the trips estimated to be generated by these uses.

Furthermore, given the various types of uses proposed for the development, it is expected that the number of trips will be reduced due the potential interaction between the various uses. As such, a 10 percent interaction reduction was applied to the projected trip generation estimates.

Table 2 summarizes the number of trips estimated to be generated by the proposed development.


Table 2
ESTIMATED PEAK HOUR SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

| ITE <br> Land <br> -Use <br> Code | Type/Size | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Daily TwoWay Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Northern Parcel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 945 | Gas Station with Convenience Store (12 fueling positions) | 76 | 74 | 150 | 86 | 82 | 168 | 2,464 |
| 937 | Coffee Shop with Drive-Through Window (1,000 s.f.) | 45 | 44 | 89 | 22 | 22 | 44 | 820 |
| 820 | Retail Space ( 3,020 s.f.) | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 114 |
| 934 | Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (1,500 s.f.) | 31 | $\underline{29}$ | $\underline{60}$ | $\underline{25}$ | $\underline{24}$ | $\underline{49}$ | 706 |
|  | Subtotal | 154 | 148 | 302 | 139 | 134 | 273 | 4,104 |
|  | 10\% Internal Capture | -15 | -15 | -30 | -14 | -13 | -27 | -410 |
|  | 60\% Pass-By Reduction (Gas Station with Convenience Store) | -45 | -45 | -90 | -50 | -50 | -100 | -1,478 |
|  | 70\% Pass-By Reduction (Coffee Shop with Drive Through Window) | -31 | -31 | -62 | -15 | -15 | -30 | -574 |
|  | 50\% Pass-By Reduction (Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window) | -15 | -15 | -30 | -12 | -12 | -24 | -353 |
|  | Subtotal Pass-By Trips | -91 | -91 | -182 | -77 | -77 | -154 | -2,405 |
|  | Subtotal New Trips | 48 | 42 | 90 | 48 | 44 | 92 | 1289 |
| Southern Parcel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 220 | Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) (89 units) | 10 | 33 | 43 | 33 | 20 | 53 | 652 |
| 820 | Retail Space ( 5,270 s.f.) | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 119 |
| 934 | Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (2,000 s.f.) | 41 | 39 | 80 | 34 | 31 | 65 | 942 |
|  | Subtotal | 54 | 74 | 128 | 77 | 61 | 138 | 1,713 |
|  | 10\% Internal Capture | -5 | -7 | -12 | -8 | -6 | -14 | -172 |
|  | 50\% Pass-By Reduction (Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window) | -20 | -20 | -40 | -16 | -16 | -32 | -471 |
|  | Subtotal Pass-By Trips | -20 | -20 | -40 | -16 | -16 | -32 | -471 |
|  | Subtotal New Trips | $\underline{\underline{29}}$ | $\underline{\underline{47}}$ | $\underline{\underline{76}}$ | $\underline{53}$ | $\underline{\underline{39}}$ | $\underline{\underline{92}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,070}}$ |
|  | Total Pass-By Trips | -111 | -111 | -222 | -93 | -93 | -186 | -2,876 |
|  | Total New Trips | 77 | 89 | 166 | 101 | 83 | 184 | 2,359 |
|  | Total Development Trips | 188 | 200 | 388 | 194 | 176 | 370 | 5,235 |

## 4. Projected Traffic Conditions

The total projected traffic volumes include the existing traffic volumes, increase in background traffic due to growth, and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed subject development.

## Development Traffic Assignment

The estimated weekday morning and weekday evening peak hour traffic volumes that will be generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway system in accordance with the previously described directional distribution (Figure 5). Figure 6 illustrates the traffic assignment of the new passenger vehicle trips and Figure 7 illustrates the traffic assignment of the pass-by passenger vehicle trips.

## Background Traffic Conditions

The existing traffic volumes (Figure 4) were increased by a regional growth factor to account for the increase in existing traffic related to regional growth in the area (i.e., not attributable to any particular planned development). Per Lake County regulations, the existing volumes were increased by three percent per year for two years in order to reflect Year 2021 conditions.

## Total Projected Traffic Volumes

The new and pass-by development-generated traffic (Figures 6 and 7) was added to the existing traffic volumes taking into account background growth to determine the Year 2021 total projected traffic volumes. Figure 8 illustrates the Year 2021 total projected traffic volumes.




## 5. Traffic Analysis and Recommendations

The following provides an evaluation conducted for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The analysis includes conducting capacity analyses to determine how well the roadway system and access drives are projected to operate and whether any roadway improvements or modifications are required.

## Traffic Analyses

Roadway and adjacent or nearby intersection analyses were performed for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours for the existing (Year 2019) and Year 2021 total projected traffic volumes.

The traffic analyses were performed using the methodologies outlined in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition and analyzed using the Synchro/SimTraffic 10 software. The analysis for the traffic-signal controlled intersections were accomplished using actual cycle lengths, phasings, and offsets to determine the average overall vehicle delay and levels of service.

The analyses for the unsignalized intersections determine the average control delay to vehicles at an intersection. Control delay is the elapsed time from a vehicle joining the queue at a stop sign (includes the time required to decelerate to a stop) until its departure from the stop sign and resumption of free flow speed. The methodology analyzes each intersection approach controlled by a stop sign and considers traffic volumes on all approaches and lane characteristics.

The ability of an intersection to accommodate traffic flow is expressed in terms of level of service, which is assigned a letter from A to F based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles passing through the intersection. The Highway Capacity Manual definitions for levels of service and the corresponding control delay for signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections are included in the Appendix of this report.

Summaries of the traffic analysis results showing the level of service and overall intersection delay (measured in seconds) for the existing and total projected conditions are presented in Tables $\mathbf{3}$ through 5. A discussion of each intersection follows. Summary sheets for the capacity analyses are included in the Appendix.

Table 3
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS - GRASS LAKE ROAD WITH DEEP LAKE ROAD - SIGNALIZED

|  |  |  | stbou |  |  | estbou |  | Nor | Ind |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T/R | L | T/R |  |
|  | Weekday <br> Morning <br> Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 14.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 29.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 1.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 13.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 24.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 17.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 56.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 21.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 36.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 30.3 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | C-21.9 |  |  | B - 16.6 |  |  | D - 53.5 |  | C-33.2 |  |  |
|  | Weekday <br> Evening <br> Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 15.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 23.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 13.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 29.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 4.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 21.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 39.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 19.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 51.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ 30.2 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | B-17.2 |  |  | C-22.0 |  |  | D - 35.9 |  | D-45.2 |  |  |
|  | Weekday | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 16.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 39.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 17.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 25.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 18.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ 59.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 22.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 42.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ 34.8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Peak Hour | C-28.4 |  |  | B-18.3 |  |  | E-55.2 |  | D-38.6 |  |  |
|  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{B} \\ 19.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 26.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 1.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B } \\ 14.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 34.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 5.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 23.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} \\ 44.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{C} \\ 20.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{E} \\ 69.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ 36.5 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | C-20.1 |  |  | C-24.6 |  |  | D - 39.4 |  | E-60.6 |  |  |
| Letter denotes Level of Service Delay is measured in seconds. |  | L - Left Turns $\quad$ RT - Through |  |  | R - Right Turns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS
EXISTING CONDITIONS - UNSIGNALIZED

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | LOS Delay | LOS |



Table 5
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS
PROJECTED CONDITIONS - UNSIGNALIZED

| Intersection | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |


| Grass Lake Road with Tower Drive and the Easterly Oakland Elementary Access Drive |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| • $\quad$ Eastbound Left Turns | A | 7.9 | A | 9.4 |
| • Northbound Approach | C | 16.7 | B | 11.6 |
| • Southbound Approach | C | 17.2 | C | 20.3 |
| Deep Lake Road with Tower Drive |  |  |  |  |
| - Eastbound Approach |  |  |  |  |
| • Northbound Left Turns | D | 27.8 | C | 21.9 |
| LOS $=$ Level of Service <br> Delay is measured in seconds. |  | 8.9 | A | 8.6 |

## Discussion and Recommendations

The following summarizes how the intersections are projected to operate and identifies any roadway and traffic control improvements necessary to accommodate the development-generated traffic.

## Grass Lake Road with Deep Lake Road

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that this intersection currently operates at LOS C during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. Additionally, all approaches currently operate at LOS D or better during both peak hours. Observations at this intersection conducted by KLOA, Inc. indicated that eastbound queues at this intersection occasionally (one time during the morning peak hour) extended beyond the location of the proposed relocated Tower Drive connection on Grass Lake Road. During the weekday evening peak hour, the queues did not extend to or past the location of the proposed relocated access drive.

Under total projected conditions, this intersection is projected to operate at LOS C during the weekday morning and at LOS D during weekday evening peak hours with increases in delay of less than six seconds during both peak hours. Additionally, the eastbound and westbound approaches are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours with increases in delay of less than six seconds for both peak hours. Furthermore, the northbound approach is projected to operate at LOS E during the weekday morning peak hour and at LOS D during the weekday evening peak hour with increases of delay of less than four seconds and the southbound approach is projected to operate at LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and at LOS E during the weekday evening peak hour.

Further inspection of the capacity analyses indicates that under projected conditions, the eastbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at this intersection are projected to be 384 feet during the weekday morning peak hour and 174 feet during the weekday evening peak hour. As such, eastbound traffic is projected to continue to generally not extend past the intersection of Grass Lake Road with Tower Drive.

Overall, this intersection has sufficient reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic projected to be generated by the proposed development and no signal modifications or geometric improvements will be required in conjunction with the proposed development.

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that all critical movements at this intersection currently operate at LOS B or better during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. Under total projected conditions, the southbound approach from the elementary school easterly access drive will operate at LOS C during both peak hours with increases in delay of approximately seven seconds or less. Furthermore, the eastbound left-turn movement into the elementary school access drive will operate at LOS A during the peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second for both peak hours. Outbound traffic from Tower Drive is projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours with $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues of one to two vehicles. As such, Tower Drive will have sufficient capacity to accommodate the site-generated traffic.

## Deep Lake Road with Tower Drive

The results of the capacity analyses indicate that all critical movements at this intersection currently operate at LOS B or better during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. Under total projected conditions, the eastbound approach is projected to operate at LOS D or better during both peak hours with $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues of one to two vehicles. As such, this intersection has sufficient reserve capacity to accommodate the development-generated traffic and no roadway improvements or traffic control modifications are required in conjunction with the proposed development.

## 6. Conclusion

Based on the preceding analyses and recommendations, the following conclusions have been made:

- The volume of traffic estimated by the proposed mixed-use development will be reduced due to internal capture and pass-by trips.
- Access to the proposed mixed-use development will be provided internally via Tower Drive which provides a connection to the area roadway system as follows:
- Tower Drive/Grass Lake Road. Tower Drive is located approximately 415 feet west of Deep Lake Road and provides one inbound lane and one outbound lane signed and physically restricted to right-turn movements only. An eastbound rightturn lane is provided on Grass Lake Road.
- Tower Drive/Deep Lake Road. This intersection is located approximately 450 feet south of Grass Lake Road. A southbound right-turn lane and a northbound left-turn lane are currently provided on Deep Lake Road serving this intersection. Tower Drive provides one inbound lane and one outbound lane with outbound movements under stop sign control.
- The results of the capacity analyses indicate that the existing roadway system has adequate capacity to accommodate the traffic that will be generated by the proposed development.


## Appendix

## Traffic Count Summary Sheets Preliminary Site Plan Level of Service Criteria Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

## Traffic Count Summary Sheets

Count Name: Deep Lake Road and Tower Drive Start Date: 03/19/2019
Page No: 1
Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
9575 W. Higgins Rd., Suite 400 Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018
Turning Movement Data

 $\stackrel{5}{3} 0000000000.100000000000000000000000 .100 .1$

Count Name: Deep Lake Road and Tower Drive Start Date: 03/19/2019
Page No: 3


[^1]| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Tower Drive Eastbound | Peds | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (6:00 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Deep Lake Road Northbound |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | App. Total | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Peds | App. Total |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 140 | 0 | 141 |
| 6:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 0 | 120 |
| 6:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 |
| 6:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 48 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 366 | 0 | 374 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | - | . | 0.0 | 2.1 | 97.9 | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | - | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 49.5 | - | 50.6 |
| PHF | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.625 | - | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.667 | 0.654 | - | 0.663 |
| Lights | 0 | 1 | 4 | - | 5 | 0 | 7 | 353 | - | 360 |
| \% Lights | . | 100.0 | 80.0 | - | 83.3 |  | 87.5 | 96.4 | - | 96.3 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | - | 10 |
| \% Buses | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 12.5 | 2.5 | - | 2.7 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 4 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | . | 0.0 | 20.0 | - | 16.7 | - | 0.0 | 1.1 | - | 1.1 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | . | . | 1 | . | - | . | - | 0 | . |
| \% Pedestrians | . | . | - | 100.0 | . |  | . | - | - | . |

Count Name: Deep Lake Road and Tower Drive
Start Date: 03/19/2019
Page No: 4

Turning Movement Data

| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{E}}{\overline{0}} \\ & \hline \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | N | $\sim$ | - |  | - |  | n | - |  | $\sim$ | N |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | \% | - | O | O | - | $\sim$ | - | $\sim$ | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ } \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |


$\begin{array}{lll}\frac{0}{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 00000000$

$\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a}}{\lrcorner}$




Count Name: Grass Lake Road and Tower Drive
Site Code:
Start Date: $03 / 19 / 2019$
Page No: 4

| Start Time | Grass Lake Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Grass Lake Road Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Tower Drive <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | School Access Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. <br> Total | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. <br> Total | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. <br> Total | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. <br> Total |  |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 276 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 120 | 5 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 318 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 237 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 106 | 2 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 170 | 1 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 284 |
| Total | 0 | 8 | 388 | 13 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 1 | 656 | 1 | 0 | 658 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 1115 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 2.0 | 94.9 | 3.2 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 99.7 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | - | - | 0.0 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 24.2 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 0.7 | 34.8 | 1.2 | - | 36.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 58.8 | 0.1 | - | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | $\checkmark$ | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | - | 3.0 | - |
| PHF | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.808 | 0.650 | - | 0.812 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.927 | 0.250 | - | 0.929 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.650 | - | 0.625 | 0.000 | 0.298 | 0.000 | 0.500 | - | 0.330 | 0.877 |
| Lights | 0 | 8 | 385 | 13 | - | 406 | 0 | 1 | 652 | 1 | - | 654 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | - | 15 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 8 | - | 33 | 1108 |
| \% Lights | - | 100.0 | 99.2 | 100.0 | - | 99.3 | - | 100.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 | - | 99.4 | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | - | 100.0 | 99.4 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 |
| \% Buses | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 4 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | - | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | 0.3 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | . | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | 0.2 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Bicycles on } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | . | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

proy әуе7 dәәa

 $\stackrel{\sim}{N} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \stackrel{n}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ N

 $\frac{\stackrel{5}{5}}{\stackrel{5}{J}} 00000000000001$.





®
0
0
0


Turning Movement Data

| Start Time | Grass Lake Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Grass Lake Road Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | U-Turn | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |  |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 61 | 119 | 19 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 22 | 36 | 14 | 1 | 72 | 0 | 5 |
| 6:15 AM | 0 | 45 | 101 | 13 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 46 | 55 | 16 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 11 |
| 6:30 AM | 0 | 53 | 91 | 26 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 8 | 36 | 8 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 5 |
| 6:45 AM | 0 | 35 | 80 | 15 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 10 | 25 | 21 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 7 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 194 | 391 | 73 | 0 | 658 | 0 | 86 | 152 | 59 | 1 | 297 | 0 | 28 |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 32 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 14 | 35 | 20 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 9 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 47 | 77 | 23 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 27 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 6 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 37 | 69 | 15 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 26 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 8 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 34 | 50 | 14 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 12 | 31 | 15 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 13 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 150 | 247 | 61 | 0 | 458 | 0 | 45 | 121 | 88 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 36 |
| *** BREAK *** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1:30 PM | 0 | 23 | 46 | 6 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 19 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 26 |
| 1:45 PM | 0 | 28 | 35 | 11 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 56 | 95 | 37 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 17 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 51 | 81 | 17 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 59 | 143 | 56 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 43 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 31 | 41 | 10 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 48 | 82 | 30 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 21 |
| 2:15 PM | 0 | 45 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 20 | 88 | 23 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 24 |
| 2:30 PM | 0 | 34 | 61 | 15 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 20 | 104 | 20 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 16 |
| 2:45 PM | 0 | 33 | 46 | 17 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 19 | 102 | 34 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 20 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 143 | 181 | 49 | 0 | 373 | 0 | 107 | 376 | 107 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 81 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 44 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 29 | 98 | 42 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 23 |
| 3:15 PM | 0 | 52 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 21 | 79 | 34 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 15 |
| 3:30 PM | 0 | 45 | 33 | 13 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 16 | 80 | 35 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 21 |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 33 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 88 | 27 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 26 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 174 | 182 | 42 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 86 | 345 | 138 | 0 | 569 | 0 | 85 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 51 | 61 | 20 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 32 | 96 | 26 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 19 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 30 | 39 | 16 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 33 | 84 | 37 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 17 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 40 | 43 | 22 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 13 | 89 | 26 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 30 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 26 | 38 | 14 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 18 | 78 | 33 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 24 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 147 | 181 | 72 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 96 | 347 | 122 | 0 | 565 | 0 | 90 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 859 | 1263 | 314 | 0 | 2436 | 0 | 479 | 1484 | 570 | 1 | 2533 | 0 | 363 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 35.3 | 51.8 | 12.9 | - | - | 0.0 | 18.9 | 58.6 | 22.5 | $-$ | - | 0.0 | 19.1 |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 9.3 | 13.7 | 3.4 | - | 26.5 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 16.1 | 6.2 | - | 27.5 | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| Lights | 0 | 822 | 1163 | 308 | - | 2293 | 0 | 474 | 1350 | 542 | - | 2366 | 0 | 354 |
| \% Lights | - | 95.7 | 92.1 | 98.1 | - | 94.1 | - | 99.0 | 91.0 | 95.1 | - | 93.4 | - | 97.5 |
| Buses | 0 | 22 | 26 | 5 | - | 53 | 0 | 3 | 31 | 25 | - | 59 | 0 | 7 |
| \% Buses | - | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.6 | $\checkmark$ | 2.2 | - | 0.6 | 2.1 | 4.4 | - | 2.3 | - | 1.9 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | - | 20 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | - | 17 | 0 | 2 |

Count Name: Deep Lake Road and Grass Lake

Count Name: Deep Lake Road and Grass Lake

| Start Time | Grass Lake Road Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (3:45 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deep Lake Road Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App.tal } \\ & \hline \text { Tol } \end{aligned}$ | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App.tal } \\ & \hline \text { Tot } \end{aligned}$ | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { App.tai } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3:45 PM | 0 | 33 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 88 | 27 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 26 | 78 | 15 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 32 | 57 | 54 | 0 | 143 | 497 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 51 | 61 | 20 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 32 | 96 | 26 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 19 | 76 | 14 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 25 | 53 | 48 | 0 | 126 | 521 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 30 | 39 | 16 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 33 | 84 | 37 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 17 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 26 | 52 | 48 | 0 | 126 | 456 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 40 | 43 | 22 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 13 | 89 | 26 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 30 | 61 | 14 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 22 | 61 | 51 | 0 | 134 | 472 |
| Total | 0 | 154 | 200 | 68 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 98 | 357 | 116 | 0 | 571 | 0 | 92 | 279 | 53 | 0 | 424 | 0 | 105 | 223 | 201 | 0 | 529 | 1946 |
| Approach \% | 0.0 | 36.5 | 47.4 | 16.1 | - | - | 0.0 | 17.2 | 62.5 | 20.3 | - | - | 0.0 | 21.7 | 65.8 | 12.5 | - | - | 0.0 | 19.8 | 42.2 | 38.0 | - | - |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 3.5 | - | 21.7 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 18.3 | 6.0 | - | 29.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 14.3 | 2.7 | - | 21.8 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 11.5 | 10.3 | - | 27.2 | - |
| PHF | 0.000 | 0.755 | 0.820 | 0.773 | - | 0.799 | 0.000 | 0.742 | 0.930 | 0.784 | - | 0.927 | 0.000 | 0.767 | 0.894 | 0.883 | - | 0.891 | 0.000 | 0.820 | 0.914 | 0.931 | - | 0.925 | 0.934 |
| Lights | 0 | 153 | 199 | 68 | . | 420 | 0 | 98 | 355 | 116 | - | 569 | 0 | 92 | 276 | 53 | - | 421 | 0 | 104 | 222 | 200 | - | 526 | 1936 |
| \% Lights | - | 99.4 | 99.5 | 100.0 | - | 99.5 | - | 100.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 | - | 99.6 | - | 100.0 | 98.9 | 100.0 | - | 99.3 | - | 99.0 | 99.6 | 99.5 | - | 99.4 | 99.5 |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 |
| \% Buses | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | 0.2 | - | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Single-Unit } \\ & \text { Trucks } \end{aligned}$ | . | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | . | 0.5 | . | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | . | 0.2 | . | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | - | 0.2 | . | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Ariculated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks } \end{gathered}$ | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Bicycles on } \\ \text { Road } \end{gathered}$ | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | . | . | . | - | - | - | - | . | - | . | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - |

## Preliminary Site Plan



## Level of Service Criteria



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | ( | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | F' | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 194 | 391 | 73 | 86 | 157 | 59 | 28 | 172 | 169 | 71 | 200 | 81 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 194 | 391 | 73 | 86 | 157 | 59 | 28 | 172 | 169 | 71 | 200 | 81 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 150 | 0 |  | 150 | 140 |  | 0 | 145 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 160 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 135 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.926 |  |  | 0.957 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1703 | 1869 | 1568 | 1736 | 1653 | 1357 | 1687 | 1700 | 0 | 1626 | 1713 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.591 |  |  | 0.323 |  |  | 0.423 |  |  | 0.194 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1059 | 1869 | 1568 | 590 | 1653 | 1357 | 751 | 1700 | 0 | 332 | 1713 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 139 |  |  | 139 |  | 51 |  |  | 21 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 402 |  |  | 477 |  |  | 458 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.7 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 14.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 7\% | 3\% | 4\% | 21\% | 19\% | 7\% | 6\% | 1\% | 11\% | 3\% | 14\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 228 | 460 | 86 | 101 | 185 | 69 | 33 | 401 | 0 | 84 | 330 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 |  | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 10.0 | 27.0 |  | 10.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 27.0 |  | 13.5 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 |  | 3.0 | 4.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |  | 4.0 | 6.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 45.5 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 42.8 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 29.3 | 21.3 |  | 32.8 | 24.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.24 |  | 0.36 | 0.28 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Deep Lake Road \& Grass Lake Road




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  | 1 | 4 | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 5 | 8 | 368 | 356 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 5 | 8 | 368 | 356 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 125 | - | - | 125 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 0 | 20 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 6 | 10 | 466 | 451 | 4 |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 154 | 206 | 68 | 98 | 364 | 116 | 92 | 283 | 53 | 105 | 223 | 201 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 154 | 206 | 68 | 98 | 364 | 116 | 92 | 283 | 53 | 105 | 223 | 201 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 150 | 0 |  | 150 | 140 |  | 0 | 145 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 160 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 135 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.929 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1787 | 2000 | 1615 | 1805 | 1980 | 1615 | 1805 | 1839 | 0 | 1787 | 1765 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.320 |  |  | 0.620 |  |  | 0.168 |  |  | 0.309 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 602 | 2000 | 1615 | 1178 | 1980 | 1615 | 319 | 1839 | 0 | 581 | 1765 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 133 |  |  | 133 |  | 10 |  |  | 47 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 402 |  |  | 477 |  |  | 458 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.7 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 14.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 166 | 222 | 73 | 105 | 391 | 125 | 99 | 361 | 0 | 113 | 456 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 |  | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 10.0 | 26.5 |  | 10.0 | 26.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 27.0 |  | 13.5 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 42.8 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 40.6 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 33.2 | 24.2 |  | 33.6 | 24.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.27 |  | 0.37 | 0.27 |  |

[^2]|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| v/c Ratio | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.72 |  | 0.34 | 0.89 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.9 | 23.6 | 0.8 | 13.1 | 29.9 | 4.5 | 21.5 | 39.9 |  | 19.9 | 51.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.9 | 23.6 | 0.8 | 13.1 | 29.9 | 4.5 | 21.5 | 39.9 |  | 19.9 | 51.4 |  |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | C | D |  | B | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.2 |  |  | 22.0 |  |  | 35.9 |  |  | 45.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 50 | 95 | 0 | 31 | 188 | 0 | 34 | 185 |  | 39 | 230 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 85 | 155 | 5 | 57 | 279 | 34 | 67 | \#337 |  | 75 | \#443 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 322 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 378 |  |  | 335 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 150 |  | 150 |  |  | 150 | 140 |  |  | 145 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 412 | 741 | 682 | 616 | 685 | 645 | 277 | 502 |  | 347 | 512 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.72 |  | 0.33 | 0.89 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 3 (3\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 30.2 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.9\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Deep Lake Road \& Grass Lake Road




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

Projected Weekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 217 | 429 | 77 | 118 | 166 | 63 | 47 | 194 | 196 | 75 | 231 | 86 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 217 | 429 | 77 | 118 | 166 | 63 | 47 | 194 | 196 | 75 | 231 | 86 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 150 | 0 |  | 150 | 140 |  | 0 | 145 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 160 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 135 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.925 |  |  | 0.959 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1703 | 1869 | 1568 | 1736 | 1653 | 1357 | 1687 | 1698 | 0 | 1626 | 1719 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.597 |  |  | 0.205 |  |  | 0.321 |  |  | 0.161 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1070 | 1869 | 1568 | 375 | 1653 | 1357 | 570 | 1698 | 0 | 276 | 1719 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 139 |  |  | 139 |  | 52 |  |  | 19 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 407 |  |  | 477 |  |  | 458 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.9 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 14.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 7\% | 3\% | 4\% | 21\% | 19\% | 7\% | 6\% | 1\% | 11\% | 3\% | 14\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 255 | 505 | 91 | 139 | 195 | 74 | 55 | 459 | 0 | 88 | 373 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 |  | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 10.0 | 27.0 |  | 10.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 27.0 |  | 13.5 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 |  | 3.0 | 4.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |  | 4.0 | 6.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 42.1 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 40.5 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 32.8 | 24.0 |  | 34.2 | 24.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.27 |  | 0.38 | 0.28 |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | , | 4 | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.81 | 0.15 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.93 |  | 0.39 | 0.77 |  |
| Control Delay | 16.3 | 39.3 | 1.8 | 17.6 | 25.5 | 0.8 | 18.2 | 59.6 |  | 22.2 | 42.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 16.3 | 39.3 | 1.8 | 17.6 | 25.5 | 0.8 | 18.2 | 59.6 |  | 22.2 | 42.5 |  |
| LOS | B | D | A | B | C | A | B | E |  | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 28.4 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 55.2 |  |  | 38.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 80 | 261 | 0 | 40 | 84 | 0 | 19 | $\sim 258$ |  | 31 | 191 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 121 | \#384 | 9 | 68 | 133 | 0 | 40 | \#417 |  | 58 | \#332 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 327 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 378 |  |  | 335 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 150 |  | 150 |  |  | 150 | 140 |  |  | 145 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 568 | 626 | 618 | 316 | 541 | 538 | 334 | 491 |  | 249 | 486 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.81 | 0.15 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.93 |  | 0.35 | 0.77 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 34.8 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.8\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Deep Lake Road \& Grass Lake Road


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor M | Minor2 | Major1 Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1031 | 441 | 540 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 441 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 590 |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.22 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.48 | 2.308 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 261 | 580 | 980 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 653 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 558 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 243 | 580 | 980 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 243 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 609 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 558 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 27.8 |  | 1.1 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | BLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 980 | - | 316 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.068 | - | 0.513 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.9 | . | 27.8 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | D | - | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 |  | 2.8 |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | F |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 636 | 77 | 0 | 294 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 636 | 77 | 0 | 294 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | Stop | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 723 | 88 | 0 | 334 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 3 | 0 | 1 |



## Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets

 Projected Weekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | V | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 174 | 233 | 72 | 139 | 386 | 123 | 115 | 310 | 69 | 111 | 261 | 213 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 174 | 233 | 72 | 139 | 386 | 123 | 115 | 310 | 69 | 111 | 261 | 213 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 150 |  | 150 | 0 |  | 150 | 140 |  | 0 | 145 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 160 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 135 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.973 |  |  | 0.933 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1787 | 2000 | 1615 | 1805 | 1980 | 1615 | 1805 | 1834 | 0 | 1787 | 1773 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.277 |  |  | 0.531 |  |  | 0.161 |  |  | 0.248 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 521 | 2000 | 1615 | 1009 | 1980 | 1615 | 306 | 1834 | 0 | 467 | 1773 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 133 |  |  | 133 |  | 12 |  |  | 43 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 410 |  |  | 477 |  |  | 458 |  |  | 415 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 14.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 187 | 251 | 77 | 149 | 415 | 132 | 124 | 407 | 0 | 119 | 510 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 |  | 3.0 | 8.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 10.0 | 26.5 |  | 10.0 | 26.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 27.0 |  | 13.5 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  | 15.0\% | 30.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 39.1 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 38.4 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 35.3 | 24.8 |  | 35.2 | 24.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.28 |  | 0.39 | 0.27 |  |


|  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.70 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.79 |  | 0.39 | 0.99 |  |
| Control Delay | 19.0 | 26.7 | 1.3 | 14.5 | 34.4 | 5.1 | 23.0 | 44.5 |  | 20.6 | 69.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 19.0 | 26.7 | 1.3 | 14.5 | 34.4 | 5.1 | 23.0 | 44.5 |  | 20.6 | 69.9 |  |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | C | D |  | C | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.1 |  |  | 24.6 |  |  | 39.4 |  |  | 60.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 56 | 109 | 0 | 44 | 200 | 0 | 44 | 219 |  | 42 | -319 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 95 | 174 | 7 | 77 | 298 | 38 | 81 | \#400 |  | 78 | \#521 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 330 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 378 |  |  | 335 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 150 |  | 150 |  |  | 150 | 140 |  |  | 145 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 362 | 655 | 618 | 522 | 649 | 618 | 281 | 513 |  | 326 | 517 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.38 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.79 |  | 0.37 | 0.99 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 3 (3\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 36.5 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.1\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Deep Lake Road \& Grass Lake Road


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | F |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 388 | 58 | 0 | 713 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 25 | 0 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 388 | 58 | 0 | 713 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 25 | 0 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | Stop | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 441 | 66 | 0 | 810 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 28 | 0 | 9 |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ IDOT DISCLAIMER: The motor vehicle crash data referenced herein was provided by the Illinois Department of Transportation. Any conclusions drawn from analysis of the aforementioned data are the sole responsibility of the data recipient(s). Additionally, for coding years 2015 to present, the Bureau of Data Collection uses the exact latitude/longitude supplied by the investigating law enforcement agency to locate crashes. Therefore, location data may vary in previous years since data prior to 2015 was physically located by bureau personnel.

[^1]:    Kenig Lindgren O'Hara Aboona, Inc.
    9575 W. Higgins Rd., Suite 400 Rosemont, Illinois, United States 60018

[^2]:    Existing P.M. Peak Hour

